Computer graphics III

Path tracing

Jaroslav Křivánek, MFF UK

Jaroslav.Krivanek@mff.cuni.cz

Tracing paths from the (pinhole) camera

```
renderImage()
  for all pixels
  {
     Spectrum pixelColor = (0, 0, 0);
     for k = 1 to N
     {
        \omega_{k} := random direction through the pixel
       pixelColor += estimateLin(cameraPosition, \omega_k)
     pixelColor /= N;
     writePixel(k, pixelColor);
```

Tracing paths from the (pinhole) camera

For progressive rendering, swap the loop nesting:

```
renderImage()
{
  for k = 1 to N // rendering "passes"
   {
    for all pixels
    {
      Spectrum pixelColor = (0,0,0);
    }
}
```

Path tracing, v. 0.1

estimateLin (x, ω) : // radiance incident at x from direction ω $y = \text{findNearestIntersection}(x, \omega)$ if (no intersection) return backgroud.getLe $(y, -\omega)$ // emitted radiance from envmap else

> return getLe $(y, -\omega)$ + // emitted radiance (if *y* is on a light) estimateLrefl $(y, -\omega)$ // reflected radiance

estimateLrefl(x, ω_{out}):

 $[\omega_{in}, pdf] = genRandomDir(x, \omega_{out}); // e.g. BRDF imp. sampling$ return estimateLin(x, ω_{in}) * brdf(x, ω_{in} , ω_{out}) * dot(\mathbf{n}_x , ω_{in}) / pdf

Path Tracing – Loop version

- Path tracing only has tail recursion
 Can be unrolled into a loop for better efficiency
- New feature: "Russian Roulette" for unbiased path termination

```
estimateLin(x, omegaInAtX) // radiance incident at "x" from the direction "omegaInAtX"
                         // ("omegaInAtX" is pointing *away* from "x")
{
 Spectrum throughput = (1, 1, 1)
 Spectrum accum = (0, 0, 0)
 while(1)
  {
   hit = findNearestIntersection(x, omegaInAtX)
   if noIntersection(hit) // ray leaves the scene - it "hits" the background
     return accum + throughput * bkgLight.getLe(x, - omegaInAtX)
   omegaOut := -omegaInAtX // omegaOut at hit.pos
   if isOnLightSource(hit) // ray happened to directly hit a light source
     accum += throughput * getLe(hit.pos, omegaOut) // "pick up" emission
   // now estimate the reflected radiance
   [omegaIn, pdfIn] := generateRandomDir(hit) // omegaIn at hit.pos
   throughput *= 1/pdfIn * brdf(hit.pos, omegaIn, omegaOut) * dot(hit.n, omegaIn)
```

```
x := hit.pos // "recursion"
omegaInAtX := omegaIn // "recursion"
```

}

```
estimateLin(x, omegaInAtX) // radiance incident at "x" from the direction "omegaInAtX"
                         // ("omegaInAtX" is pointing *away* from "x")
{
 Spectrum throughput = (1, 1, 1)
 Spectrum accum = (0, 0, 0)
 while(1)
   hit = findNearestIntersection(x, omegaInAtX)
   if noIntersection(hit) // ray leaves the scene - it "hits" the background
     return accum + throughput * bkgLight.getLe(x, - omegaInAtX)
   omegaOut := -omegaInAtX // omegaOut at hit.pos
   if isOnLightSource(hit) // ray happened to directly hit a light source
     accum += throughput * getLe(hit.pos, omegaOut) // "pick up" emission
   // now estimate the reflected radiance
   [omegaIn, pdfIn] := generateRandomDir(hit) // omegaIn at hit.pos
   throughput *= 1/pdfIn * brdf(hit.pos, omegaIn, omegaOut) * dot(hit.n, omegaIn)
```

<pre>survivalProb = min(1, throughput.maxComponent())</pre>	
<pre>if rand() < survivalProb</pre>	// Russian Roulette - survive (reflect)
throughput /= survivalProb	
x := hit.pos	// "recursion"
omegaInAtX := omegaIn	// "recursion"
else	<pre>// terminate the path - break the while loop</pre>
break;	
}	

return accum;

}

Terminating paths – Russian roulette

- Continue the path with probability q
- Multiply weight (throughput) of surviving paths by 1 / q

$$Z = \begin{cases} Y/q & \text{if } \xi < q \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

• RR is unbiased!

$$E[Z] = \frac{E[Y]}{q} \cdot q + 0 \cdot \frac{1}{q-1} = E[Y]$$

Survival probability

- It makes sense to use the surface reflectance ρ as the survival probability
 - If the surface reflects only 30% of light energy, we continue with the probability of 30%. That's how light behaves in physical reality.

Survival probability

- What if we cannot calculate ρ? Then there's a convenient alternative, which in fact works even better:
 - 1. First sample a random direction ω_{in} according to $pdf(\omega_{in})$
 - 2. Update the path throughput
 - 3. Use the updated throughput as the survival probability
- If direction sampling $pdf(\omega_{in})$ is exactly proportional to BRDF*cos, the above strategy turns out to be exactly equivalent to setting survival probability to the surface reflectance (*prove this*).

Survival probability

 Our work: Adjoint-driven Russian Roulette & Splititng [Vorba & Křivánek 2016]

- Weight the survival probability by the expected path contribution
 - If we enter a bright region, continue path even if throughput might be low
 - If we enter a dark region, kill the path even if throughput might be high

□ If the "survival probability" ends up > 1, split the path

Adjoint-drive RR and splitting



Vorba and Křivánek. Adjoint-Driven Russian Roulette and Splitting in Light Transport Simulation. ACM SIGGRAPH 2016

```
estimateLin(x, omegaInAtX) // radiance incident at "x" from the direction "omegaInAtX"
                          // ("omegaInAtX" is pointing *away* from "x")
{
 Spectrum throughput = (1, 1, 1)
 Spectrum accum = (0, 0, 0)
 while(1)
   hit = findNearestIntersection(x, omegaInAtX)
   if noIntersection(hit) // ray leaves the scene - it "hits" the background
     return accum + throughput * bkgLight.getLe(x, - omegaInAtX)
   omegaOut := -omegaInAtX // omegaOut at hit.pos
   if isOnLightSource(hit) // ray happened to directly hit a light source
     accum += throughput * getLe(hit.pos, omegaOut) // "pick up" emission
   // now estimate the reflected radiance
   [omegaIn, pdfIn] := generateRandomDir(hit)
                                                            // omegaIn at hit.pos
   throughput *= 1/pdfIn * brdf(hit.pos, omegaIn, omegaOut) * dot(hit.n, omegaIn)
```

```
survivalProb = min(1, throughput.maxComponent())
if rand() < survivalProb // Russian Roulette - survive (reflect)
throughput /= survivalProb
x := hit.pos // "recursion"
omegaInAtX := omegaIn // "recursion"
else // terminate the path - break the while loop
break;
}</pre>
```

```
return accum;
```

}

Direction sampling – genRandomDir()

• We usually sample the direction ω_{in} from a pdf similar to

$$f_r(x, \omega_{\rm in} \to \omega_{\rm out}) \cos \theta_{\rm in}$$

Ideally, we would want to sample proportionally to the integrand itself

 $L_{\rm in}(x, \omega_{\rm in}) f_r(x, \omega_{\rm in} \to \omega_{\rm out}) \cos \theta_{\rm i},$

but this is difficult, because we do not know L_{in} upfront. With some precomputation, it is possible to use a rough estimate of L_{in} for sampling [Jensen 95, Vorba et al. 2014]. This is called "<u>path guiding</u>".

Path guiding



Vorba, Karlík, Šik, Ritschel, and Křivánek. **On-line Learning of Parametric Mixture Models for Light Transport Simulation**. *ACM SIGGRAPH 2014*

Path guiding



Vorba, Karlík, Šik, Ritschel, and Křivánek. **On-line Learning of Parametric Mixture Models for Light Transport Simulation**. *ACM SIGGRAPH 2014*

Direct illumination calculation in a path tracer

Direct illumination: Two strategies

- At each path vertex, we are calculating **direct** illumination
 - i.e. radiance reflected from the surface point exclusively due to the light coming *directly* from the light sources
- Two sampling strategies
 - **1.** Explicit light source sampling ("next event estimation")
 - 2. **BRDF-proportional sampling** (already in the above code)

The use of MIS in a path tracer

• At each path vertex do both:

Explicit light source sampling

Generate point on light source & cast shadow ray

BRDF-proportional sampling

- One ray can be shared for the calculation of both **direct** and **indirect** illumination
- But the MIS weight is applied only on the direct term (indirect illumination is added unweighted because there is no alternative technique to calculate it)

Dealing with multiple light sources

- Option 1:
 - 1. Loop over all sources and send a shadow ray to each one
- Option 2:
 - 1. Choose one source at random (ideally with prob proportional to light contribution)
 - 2. Sample illumination only on the chosen light, divide the result by the prob of picking that light
 - (Scales better with many sources but has higher variance per path)
- Beware: The probability of choosing a light influences the sampling pds and therefore also the MIS weights.

Learning the lights' contributions



Vévoda, Kondapaneni, Křivánek. **Bayesian online regression for** adaptive direct illumination sampling. *ACM SIGGRAPH 2018*

Learning the lights' contributions



Vévoda, Kondapaneni, Křivánek. **Bayesian online regression for** adaptive direct illumination sampling. *ACM SIGGRAPH 2018*